

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,481		11/25/2003	Robert P. Arentsen	ITTD-BG101US	5984	
23122	7590	08/16/2006		EXAMINER		
RATNERPRESTIA			PRICE, CRAIG JAMES			
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			3753		
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/200	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,
(/	γ
`(_	U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,481	ARENTSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
·	Craig Price	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	pplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/25/2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/2006. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
			_			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,481 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 200, 202. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because reference designation 206 in Fig. 2D is not appropriate, 206 indicates two objects, one being a slot and one being a surface (206 which points to a surface is suggested to be removed). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 22 - 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 22 recites the limitation, "the flange being freely rotatable relative to the insert and the valve housing when the insert is assembled to the valve housing". Paragraphs 29-34,36,37,39 in the detailed description discuss using the rotatable flange, but do not specify that when the insert is assembled into the housing, the flange can freely rotate.

Paragraph 29 lines 1-3 states that,

"(0029) Before coupling insert 102 to valve housing 100, the threaded end 103 of insert 102 is slipped through the central hole 115 formed in rotatable flange 106. The diameter of hole 115 is such that it snugly, but rotatably fits on the exterior of the insert."

There is no support within the originally filed specification, for the claim limitation that, "the flange can rotate freely <u>after</u> assembly".

Claim 26 recites the limitation, "the axial thickness of the flange is less than the axial length of the space between the lip and the valve housing whereby the flange is slideable axially along the insert".

There is no support within the originally filed specification, for this limitation.

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22,24-26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rocheleau (2002/0162986).

Regarding claims 22 and 27, Rochleau discloses a quarter turn ball valve (8) including a valve housing (10) having inlet and outlet ports, an insert (16) having a body member including an exterior surface and an internal flow channel (Col.3, Lns.12-14), one end of the insert being coupled to the valve housing so that the internal flow channel communicates with one of the ports, a lip (16) formed on the free end of the body member, the lip being spaced from the valve housing when the insert is assembled to the valve housing, a flange (28) carried on the exterior surface of the insert between the lip and the valve housing, the flange being freely rotatable relative to the insert and the valve housing when the insert is assembled to the valve housing (Col.2, Lns.5-7), and fastener holes (30) formed in the flange for receiving fasteners that secure the valve assembly in a fluid system, and one end of said body member being fixed to the valve housing so that the exterior surface extends axially from the valve

housing and the internal axial flow channel communicates with one of the ports and a flange having central opening formed therein of a size and shape complementary to the exterior surface of the insert so that the flange is spaced from the valve housing and

Regarding claim 24, Rocheleau discloses that the flange is formed with a mounting hole of a size and shape complementary to and larger than the exterior surface of the insert (Col.3, Lns. 12-14).

freely rotatable on the exterior surface of the insert as shown in figure 6.

Regarding claim 25, Rocheleau discloses that the size of the mounting hole is less than that of the lip (Col. 3, Lns. 12-14) as shown in figure 6.

Regarding claim 26, Rocheleau discloses that the axial thickness of the flange is less than the axial length of the space between the lip and the valve housing whereby the flange is slideable axially along the insert (prior to complete engagement of the threaded insert into the body, this limitation is met).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 23, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rocheleau '986 in view of Keller III (3,241,810).

Rocheleau discloses all of the features of the claimed invention, but is silent to the end of the internal axial flow channel adjacent the lip is formed with a polygonal cross-section to accommodate a tool for threadably fixing the insert to the valve body.

Keller discloses a valve, which teaches the use of a polygonal cross-section (21) to accommodate a tool for threadably fixing an item, as shown in figure 2 (Col. 2, Lns. 41-48).

In view of the Keller patent, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the hex shaped cross section of Keller into the insert of Rochleau to have the end of the internal axial flow channel adjacent the lip is formed with a polygonal cross-section to accommodate a tool for threadably fixing the insert to the valve body in order to adjust the rate of flow of the valve (Col. 2, Lns. 51-55).

Claims 22 and 27 are rejected in an alternative view, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rocheleau '986.

Rocheleau teaches all of the features of the claimed invention, but discloses blots to preclude movement of the rotatable flange.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the bolts so that the flange remains, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining

Art Unit: 3753

elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art (see MPEP 2144.04).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7AM - 5:30PM M-R.

Art Unit: 3753

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-2712.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CP

9 August 2006

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 8